

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,644	04/25/2000	Jin K. Song	1260-2001	9251
7	590 08/28/2002			
Arthur I Navarro			EXAMINER	
Navarro IP Law Group Suite 655			WALSH, DANIEL I	
801 E Campbell Rd			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Richardson, TX 75081			2876	اعد ٠
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002	<b>:</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/557,644	SONG, JIN K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel I Walsh	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7						
24/23	This action is non-final.	the state of the morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗍 In	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.</li> </ol>	) 5) 🔲 No	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:				

D. Walsh
Art Unit: 2876

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment received on 24 July 2002.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 5,466,158), in view of Ho (US 6,064,855) and Li (US 5,631,883).

Smith teaches an interactive book device with a book 80 with illustrations and text (FIG. 1 and FIG. 2), a book holder adapted to accept the book, the holder having a reading surface 30 (FIG. 1 and FIG. 2) with a cartridge slot 54, a speaker 22, and power supply 46. Smith also teaches a cartridge to be inserted into the cartridge slot, the cartridge including stored audio related to the illustrations or text on the pages of the book though game cartridge 50 that "allows about 175 spoken words and 25 special sound effects" (col 5, lines 48+).

Smith fails to specifically teach that some of the pages include magnetic signatures, a magnetic sensor on the reading surface of the book holder and a reading controller where the sensor detects and makes contact with magnetic signatures on the pages as they are turned by a user viewing the book, and that the controller interacts with the sensor to determine a given page that is being viewed responsive to the direct contact between the magnetic signature sensor and

Art Unit: 2876

Page 3 D. Walsh

the magnetic signature on the given page, and to retrieve and reproduce the sounds to the user through the speaker, corresponding to the pages being viewed.

Ho teaches that some of the pages include magnetic signatures through a plurality of magnetic field generators 75 that are mounted on the bottom sides of the tab members 131 of the pages. Ho teaches that the book holder has a reading surface with a magnetic signature sensor through FIG. 1 and magnetic field sensors 75 (FIG. 6) and column 5, lines 40+. Ho teaches that the magnetic sensor detects magnetic signatures on the pages as they are turned to determine what page is being viewed and to retrieve and reproduce audio representations corresponding to the pages being viewed, and playing them through a speaker through "Moreover, a plurality of magnetic field generators 75 are mounted on the bottom sides of the tab members 131 respectively. Therefore, when voice book 10 is closed, each of the magnetic field sensors 74 detects a specific magnetic field generated from the respective magnetic field generator 75 so that the audio means 30 will be deactivated and will not provide any speaking sound. However, when the voice book 10 is turned into a particular page sheet 13, that tab member 131 as well as the magnetic field generator 75 mounted thereon will be turned over, the magnetic field generated by the respective magnetic field generator 75 will not be sensed and the audio means 30 will react to broadcast the sound content with respect to that particular page sheet 13" (col 5, lines 43+).

D. Walsh

Page 4

Ho fails to teach that direct contact is made between the magnetic field sensors and that the controller determines a given page that the user is viewing responsive to the direct contact between the magnetic signature sensor, and the magnetic signature on the given page.

Though neither Smith or Ho specifically teach a reading controller that interacts with the sensors to determine pages be viewed and to retrieve audio on the cartridge corresponding to the pages and to reproduce the sound through the speaker for the user, it has been discussed above that these means have been taught without the use of a specific reading controller. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a reading controller or functionally equivalent device, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements performs the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184. Further, it has been held that the recitation that an element is 'adapted' to perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Li teaches direct contact being made between labels 15 composed of an insulation tape 141 being printed with a U-shape conductive stripe that comes into direct contact with contact plate 24. Li further teaches a controller/control circuit 30 which receives the trigger signal from the contract plate 24 (upon direct contact) and controls the emitting of the audio signal corresponding to the page, determined by the label.

Though Li teaches conductive contact, and not specifically magnetic contact made with sensors and emitters, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Li to include magnetic detection and

Application/Control Number: 09/557,644

Art Unit: 2876

emitters since such detection and emission has been discussed above by Ho. Further, it would have been obvious to have direct magnetic contact as a matter of design variation, since it appears that the invention would function just as well with the teachings of Li, and that the magnetic means does not serve a particular purpose that is not met by Li. Further, it is well known in the art to encode data magnetically, and it is further well known that educational aids/talking books that include direct magnetic contact between magnetic data and pickup members exist, as seen by Ross (US 4,273,538). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Li to meet the limitations of the present invention, as an obvious expedient, and as a safe alternative that reduces the chance of the user being shocked.

Page 5

D. Walsh

Re claims 2, Ho teaches the magnetic signatures are attached to a specified location of the page, as seen in FIG. 6, where the field generators 75 are mounted on the bottom side of tabs 131.

Re claim 3, Ho teaches that the magnetic sensors comprise one or more individualized reading elements pre-aligned on the reading surface to correspond with magnetic signatures at their specified locations through FIG. 1, FIG. 6, FIG. 5, and FIG. 7, where the magnetic sensors replace the signal emitters and receivers 71 and 72 in a preferred embodiment.

Re claim 4, the reading surface is flat, as seen in FIG. 1.

Re claim 5, it is understood that the power supply taught above is coupled with the elements of the device (directly or indirectly), and also can control the activation and deactivation of the book holder, since if there is no power (energy) in the battery, it will cause the book holder to be de-activated, and vice versa.

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 6, it is understood that location of the magnetic signatures is detected by the sensors as taught above, and that audio is correlated with the matching signatures.

Page 6

D. Walsh

Re claim 7, it is also understood that the magnetic signatures of the pages are aligned with the reading elements when the book is inserted into the holder, since otherwise, it would not be able to function effectively.

Re claim 8, it is understood that once the book is placed in the holder, it cane be read and the pages can be turned, and hence the interactive part of the device can come into play.

Re claim 9, it is understood that when the pages are turned, the magnetic signatures identify the pages/text/illustration since the appropriate audio is conveyed to the user.

Re claims 10-11, it is understood that the audio to be played to the user is first retrieved and then audibly played to the user, corresponding to the pages being viewed by the user.

Re claim 19 and 22, the limitations of this claim have been addressed above, except for the added limitation that the system has a bracket coupled to the reading surface adapted to hold the book in place while the pages are turned, that there is a book support surface adjoined to one side of the reading surface to support the pages as they are viewed, and that the reading surface and book support surface are adjoined by a means to fold in a manner to allow for easy carrying. Smith teaches a bracket type device to hold the book in place through clamp 25,26 (FIG. 3). Though not referred to as a bracket, it meets the functionality of a bracket as it holds the book in place while the pages are turned. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to use a bracket in view of the support means taught by Smith, as a matter of design variation since both perform the same function, and it appears the is no advantage to have a bracket, or that it serves a purpose that the clamp means of

D. Walsh

Page 7

Smith cannot meet. Further, Smith teaches a book support surface through 30, in figure 2, where surface 30 supports a portion of the book while being adjoined to the reading surface where the comic book is being read from in FIG. 3. Finally, Smith teaches that the reading surface and support surface are adjoined to allow for folding to allow surfaces to meet for ease of carrying through FIG. 1 and FIG. 2 which shows the system in the open and closed position, where the book support surface is interpreted as surface 30, and the reading surface is interpreted as the surface where comic book 80 in FIG. 2 rests.

Re claim 20, the claim limitations have been discussed above with respect to claim 3.

Re claim 21, both the reading surface and book support surface are flat as seen in FIG. 2

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Smith with those of Ho.

One would have been motivated to do this to create an interactive device that relies on magnetic signatures as opposed to the pressure detection means taught by Smith, since it is well known that pressure sensitive buttons are inconvenient and are susceptible to wear. Therefore using magnetic signature detection to determine the page and the necessary sound to be played is more convenient, accurate and robust, and can even be used by those with limited sight or physical abilities who may be unable to read conventional books or operate pressure sensitive reading devices.

3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as modified by Ho, and Li, as applied to claim 19, and further in view of Sharpe et al. (US 5, 851,119).

The teachings of Smith and Ho have been discussed above.

Art Unit: 2876

Page 8

D. Walsh

Smith and Ho fail to teach an adjustable volume.

Sharpe et al. teaches a volume control through FIG. 1 "Control switches include an on-off switch and others that permit adjustment of various parameters, including, for example, volume" (col 3, lines 2+).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Smith and Ho with Sharpe et al.

One would have been motivated to do this to provide an adjustable volume means for the convenience and enjoyment of the user, whereby volume can be adjusted depending on the conditions and preference of the individual user.

4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as modified by Ho and Li, as applied to claim 19, and further in view of Rallison et al. (US 5,991,085).

The teachings of Smith and Ho have been discussed above. Also, Smith teaches a plurality of indicating LEDS through LEDs 64, even though Smith is silent to if one is used as a power indicator. However, it is well known and understood in the art that LEDs are used to indicate states to the user (such as power).

Smith and Ho fail to teach an LED to indicate the state of the book system (on or off).

Rallison et al. teaches an LED power indicator 48.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Smith with Ho and Rallison et al.

One would have been motivated to do this to provide a visual way to alert the user of the

Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

Page 9

power status of the system, since LED power indicators are a conventional way of doing this, and are well known in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 12-17 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to specifically teach a method for electronically storing text and audio content for use in an electronic book reader system, the method comprising the steps of: creating electronic equivalent representations of the text and audio content; storing the electronic equivalents in a first electronic memory space; and downloading a duplicate of the electronic equivalent representations stored in the first electronic memory space into a second electronic memory space housed within the electronic book reader system, the downloaded duplicate of the electronic equivalent representations store in the second electronic memory space according to pages of the electronic book reader system.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner has used the prior art reference

Art Unit: 2876

of Li to meet the limitations of direct contact as set forth in the amendment. Li teaches direct contact (electronic), and it is well known in the art (Ross) that direct magnetic contact exists in teaching aids/books. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Li to have direct contact between the magnetic sensor and source on a given page, to determine the given page that the user is viewing.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 10 D. Walsh

Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

Page 11

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Kopp, Jr. et al. (US 5,810,604), Ross (US 4,273,538), McTaggart (US 5,417,575), and DeSmet (US 4,884,974).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Daniel Walsh** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-1001**. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30am to 4:00pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for this Group is (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, or (703) 308-7382.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 US.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.walsh@uspto.gov].

Art Unit: 2876

Page 12 D. Walsh

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set for the in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

DW

8/20/02

MULA

MICHAEL G. LEE

DPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800